

Potentiality of ChatGPT as an Entertainment Recommender System

Jenvi Patel

Introduction

In the 21st century, the world of technology is evolving every day. One of the leading technologies currently revolutionizing the computer science industry is artificial intelligence. According to Simmons and other researchers at the University of New Hampshire, artificial intelligence, or AI, is a technological innovation that can complete rigorous tasks by mimicking human intelligence (Simmons et al., 1988, pg. 2). One prominent example of artificial intelligence are large language models, or LLMs. As stated by Jiang and other researchers published at MIT Press, large language models are artificial intelligence algorithms that utilize deep learning techniques to understand, generate, and predict content (Jiang et al., 2020). In 2022, the large language model ChatGPT began gaining popularity all over the world. ChatGPT is a revolutionary technology developed by OpenAI that uses advanced AI techniques to generate natural language responses to a given prompt or input (Kalla & Smith, 2022). Therefore, ChatGPT has many unique features giving it the potential to serve as a recommender system. Based on Burke of DePaul University, recommender systems are tools that recommend items of interest to the user (Burke et al., 2011). Against this information, this research study explores the extent to which teenagers believe that ChatGPT, a phenomenal artificial intelligence model, can serve as an existing recommender system specifically within the entertainment sector. Importance of the Research

Conducting this study is incredibly important because it can provide insights into the beliefs of teenagers regarding ChatGPT's proficiency as an entertainment recommender system. Current research showcases an interest in using large language model processing abilities, including ChatGPT's features, as recommender systems. As society continues to rely on technology for entertainment purposes, it is vital to learn the potential benefits or disadvantages of using artificial intelligence as an entertainment recommender system.

I hypothesize that ChatGPT, due to its numerous capabilities, can serve as a proficient entertainment recommender system. As my research project aims to compare ChatGPT's recommendations to Netflix and Spotify's recommendations, I hypothesize that ChatGPT can replace both Netflix and Spotify. One key assumption about ChatGPT is that it upholds user privacy and security throughout the entire recommendation process because not doing so would raise ethical concerns.

Gap in the Research

Pre-existing research regarding large language models explores how effective ChatGPT would be as a recommender system (Di Palma et al., 2023). Although, this pre-existing research does not investigate how ChatGPT can serve as an entertainment recommender system. Also, it does not explore recommender systems specifically of the entertainment sector. Furthermore, there is not any research about the beliefs of high school aged-teenagers at High School on ChatGPT as a entertainment recommender system. High schoolers are one of the largest age groups using entertainment recommender systems. In fact, according to Judy Berman, a TV critic at TIME magazine, 62% of teens use Netflix as their primary streaming source (Berman, 2019). The purpose of this study is to address this gap and bring attention to the ways in which technology can improve the entertainment industry. To analyze the influence of ChatGPT on entertainment recommender systems, the guiding research question is: To what



extent do high schoolers at **serve as a** proficient entertainment recommender system?

Literature Review

Searching Techniques

The sources below were found by examining online databases of reliable peer-reviewed sources to guarantee credibility. Keywords used while researching in credible databases were ChatGPT, large language models, artificial intelligence, and recommender systems. **Benefits of ChatGPT**

One perspective is that ChatGPT can serve as a great entertainment recommender system because of its multiple features. Based on Reddy of the National Institute of Technology Puducherry, movie recommendation systems such as Netflix have multiple issues such as the cold-start problem, sparsity, and the long-tail problem (Reddy et al., 2023) These challenges often lead to suboptimal suggestions for users. However, ChatGPT's conversational functions can fix these issues by engaging with users and adapting to their fickle preferences. In addition, according to Ji and researchers at Cornell University, ChatGPT's ability to uncover users' personality traits from its language styles can provide relevant explanations (Ji et al., 2023). This unique ability, along with logical reasoning, establishes ChatGPT as a valuable tool with the capability of acting as an entertainment recommender system.

Disadvantages of ChatGPT

Additionally, another perspective is that the ChatGPT cannot ever serve as an entertainment recommender system because of its potential problems. As said by Blum of Kenyon College, ChatGPT is prone to leaking personal information, biases, and inaccurate information to its users (Blum, 2022). These pitfalls pose serious risks when considering ChatGPT as an entertainment recommender system since user privacy and accuracy are of utmost importance. Additionally, based on researchers at Cornell University, ChatGPT has inherent biases present reflecting societal norms and biases (Schramowski, 2022). These findings portray the belief that ChatGPT cannot be used as a recommender algorithm. **Cautious Implementation of ChatGPT**

Lastly, a final perspective within the technology industry is that ChatGPT may act as an entertainment recommender system, but it needs certain restraints. When evaluating ChatGPT's performance as an assistance tool for ophthalmologists, multiple limitations were noted by the doctors. According to Antaki and researchers published at the National Library of Medicine, ChatGPT was not accurate in its medical information and required constant supervision by professionals (Antaki et al., 2023). These issues of accuracy and reliability introduce concerns about ChatGPT's potential to serve as an entertainment recommender system. As mentioned in a study by researchers at the University of Aegean, when ChatGPT was used as a recommendation system in a museum, the museum staff lacked knowledge about ChatGPT's functioning (Trichopoulos et al. 2023). This limited understanding of ChatGPT's capabilities makes it risky to use the large language model as an entertainment recommender system. Essentially, ChatGPT needs to be treated with extreme care to avoid possible problems.

All in all, numerous sources are highlighting the effects of artificial intelligence on recommender systems. These studies explored the positive and negative effects of large language models but did not go in-depth about the likeliness of ChatGPT serving as a recommender system of the entertainment industry. To connect this prior research and to fill this



gap, this study aims to determine the extent to which ChatGPT can serve as a proficient entertainment recommender system, through the lens of high school students.

Method

Introduction

The mixed-method approach of an explanatory sequential design was utilized to answer the research question aiming to determine the extent to which ChatGPT can serve as a proficient entertainment recommender system. According to Leedy and Ormord, the authors of *Practical Research*, an explanatory sequential design involves two phases in a QUAN \rightarrow qual format: the dominant quantitative phase coming first and the second qualitative phase serving as a follow-up (Leedy & Ormord, 2023). Studies, such as the one conducted by psychologists Jacobson, Hanson, and Zhou, evaluate similar research questions with an explanatory sequential design. The three researchers explored the effectiveness of graduate training in preparing psychologists to provide test feedback, which is similar to my project goal since they both focus on how one item or action can influence something else. In the researchers' first phase, they gained statistical data through a 40-item questionnaire. In their second phase, they gained qualitative data through follow-up interviews, which is something I am also planning to conduct.

The success of the above study in addressing similar research project goals as mine through an explanatory sequential design compelled me to utilize the mixed-methods approach in my study. My initial plan was to conduct a content analysis, which is the method used by many researchers of the computer science field. However, I realized that it was better to conduct a survey and interviews to gain insights about the opinions of high school students. A content analysis would not provide a thorough analysis of the viewpoints of teenagers. Additionally, In a study by Lyu, Vachha, Chen, and Kumaravel, which analyzed the communication between a VR streamer and spectators, formative interviews with six experts were conducted (Chen et al., 2023). The study and the aforementioned study are both part of the same discipline, computer science, which guided my decision in implementing interviews with an expert. Overall, a mixed method approach fits my research project well because it allows for me to thoroughly examine the cause-effect relationship between ChatGPT and entertainment recommender systems and have one type of data guide the subsequent collection of another type of data as said by Leedy and Ormrod (Leedy & Ormord, 2023).

Phase 1 - Survey

The first phase of my study was a survey on Google Forms. A survey is a list of questions used to extract specific data from a specific group of people (Leedy & Ormrod, 2023). In total, 106 survey responses were received from high schoolers at **Extended** High School. The number of survey participants were gained by visiting classrooms and speaking with teachers to encourage students to fill out the survey. Participants were asked to rank aspects of ChatGPT, Netflix, and Spotify's recommendations on a scale of 1 to 5. As stated by Anelli and researchers of Cornell University, these aspects include accuracy, personalization, using user preferences, handling cold-start situations, reordering existing recommendation lists, etc (Anelli et al., 2023). Netflix's movie recommendations were gained by inputting the movie Justice League, the TV-show Young Sheldon, and the Bollywood film Badrinath Ki Dulhania into Netflix's recommendation algorithm (Appendix A). Netflix gave about 5 recommendations for each of these inputs and they were placed on the Google Form. Then, ChatGPT provided recommendations based on the same three inputs. ChatGPT was given the prompt, "If I liked



(input movie), recommend some more movies/TV shows." ChatGPT's movie/TV show recommendations then were placed on the Google Form (Appendix B). Then, the survey participants were asked to rank the relevance, variety, and likelihood of usage of both Netflix and ChatGPT's movie/TV show recommendations (Appendix C). After that, Spotify's music recommendations were gained by inputting the Top 10 Artists of 2023, which were Taylor Swift, Bad Bunny, The Weeknd, Drake, Peso Pluma, Feid, Travis Scott, SZA, KAROL G, Lana Del Ray. Spotify's recommended playlist based on these inputted artists was placed on the Google Form (Appendix D). Then, ChatGPT was asked to recommend a playlist based on these same inputted artists, and its playlist was placed on the Google Form (Appendix E). Again, survey participants were prompted to rank the relevance, variety, and likelihood of usage of both Spotify and ChatGPT's music recommendations (Appendix F).

Phase 2 - Interviews

The second phase of my study was follow-up interviews with 10 survey participants. Interviews were conducted to ask my participants to expand upon the choices they made in the survey. Three separate interview versions were created to analyze all the different choices that participants selected in the survey. The first version was aimed for the participants who chose Netflix over ChatGPT as a movie/TV show recommender and chose Spotify over ChatGPT as a music recommender (Appendix G). The second version was aimed for the participants who chose ChatGPT over Netflix as a movie/TV show recommender and chose ChatGPT over Spotify as a music recommender (Appendix H). The third version was aimed for the participants who chose Netflix over ChatGPT as a movie/TV show recommender and chose Spotify over ChatGPT over Spotify as a music recommender (Appendix H). The third version was aimed for the participants who chose Netflix over ChatGPT as a movie/TV show recommender and chose Spotify over ChatGPT over Spotify as a music recommender (Appendix H).

Ethical Considerations

Some ethical considerations in the survey and interviews included anonymity and informed consent. In the survey, students had received full information detailing my research process including the topic, target audience, and purpose. Additionally, all survey and interview responses were kept private and the Google Form did not track any student information, such as their emails or names.

Limitations

Unfortunately, there may be certain limitations hindering the success of my research project. The main limitation of a mixed method approach is properly integrating both the quantitative and qualitative phases and deriving viable data from both data types (Leedy & Ormrod, 2023). This limitation can be avoided by ensuring that both data types are analyzed thoroughly to create a comprehensive view. Another limitation is that the survey did not ask participants about the time and experience they have with ChatGPT, Netflix, and Spotify. Therefore, some participants may have much more experience with these platforms than others.

Discussion of Findings

Quantitative Results - Survey

The first phase of this research process was a survey generating quantitative data. The survey was completed by a total of 106 students at High School. The participants of this survey were a diverse group of students spanning grades 9 through 12. The participants had varying opinions about using ChatGPT as an entertainment recommender system for movie/TV recommendations and music recommendations. The following tables show the percentage of respondents who rated a specific number (scale of 1 to 5) about a specific factor of a recommender system.

Survey Opinions on Netflix vs. ChatGPT

The first part of the survey explored high school students' opinions on movie/TV recommendations from the streaming platform Netflix and ChatGPT. The survey displayed Netflix's recommendations (Appendix A) of the movie "Justice League", the TV show "Young Sheldon", and the movie "Badrinath Ki Dulhania" along with showing ChatGPT's recommendations (Appendix B) of the same content. The questions of the survey (Appendix C) asked participants to rate different aspects of Netflix and ChatGPT's recommendations on a scale of 1-5.

As seen in Table 1, there were varying opinions on the relevance, variety, and likelihood and usage of Netflix's movie/TV show recommendations. For the rating of relevance/personalization, 40% of high schoolers rated it a 3. According to Varela and Kaun, authors of the novel *Netflix at the Nexus*, Netflix's recommendations are personalized based on the viewings of peers, family, a geographic location, and a reference community (Varela & Kaun, 2019, p. 200). Therefore, these personalization recommendations may not have appeared very relevant to teens since they were greatly influenced by other users. For the rating of the variety/diversity, 41.2% of high schoolers rated it a 4. This means that most participants believed Netflix's recommendations were decently varied with a mix of different genres, TV shows, movies, etc. For the rating of the likelihood of usage, 34.1% of high schoolers rated it a 4. This demonstrates that most participants agreed that they would watch a TV show or movie from Netflix's recommendations.

 Table 1: Percentage of Participants' Rating of the Relevance/Personalization, Variety/Diversity, and Likelihood of Netflix's Movie/TV Show Recommendations

Likert Scale:	1	2	3	4	5
Relevance/Personalization	3.5%	11.8%	40%	37.6%	7.1%
Variety/Diversity	3.5%	11.8%	29.4%	41.2%	14.1%
Likelihood of Usage	4.7%	18.8%	27.1%	34.1%	15.3%

As seen in Table 2, the opinions on the relevance, variety, and likelihood of usage of ChatGPT's movie/TV show recommendations largely favored ChatGPT. For the rating of the relevance/personalization, 42.4% of high schoolers rated it a 5. This illustrates that many participants believed the recommendations were relevant to the content they originally watched. For the rating of the variety/diversity, 34.1% of high schoolers rated it a 3. Based on Partha Ray, an assistant professor at Sikkim University, ChatGPT has many biases which can cause it to recommend very limited content (Ray, 2023, p. 128). These inherent biases may have contributed to less diverse recommendations. For the rating of the likelihood of usage, 41.2% of high schoolers rated it a 4. This proves that most participants agreed that they would watch a TV show or movie from ChatGPT's recommendations.

Table 2: Percentage of Participants' Rating of the Relevance/Personalization, Variety/Diversity, and Likelihood of ChatGPT's Movie/TV Show Recommendations

Likert Scale:	1	2	3	4	5



Relevance/Personalization	2.4%	3.5%	16.5%	35.3%	42.4%
Variety/Diversity	5.9%	10.6%	34.1%	28.2%	21.2%
Likelihood of Usage	2.4%	7.1%	27.1%	41.2%	22.4%

The final survey question of the first half of the survey asked participants to choose whether they would use ChatGPT or Netflix as a recommender system to discover movies/TV shows. The majority of participants, 63.5%, chose ChatGPT while a smaller percentage of respondents, 36.5%, chose Netflix. Based on researchers Reddy and Sujithra, movie/TV show recommendation systems like Netflix have multiple issues such as the cold-start problem, sparsity, and the long-tail problem (Reddy et al., 2023). Thus, participants believed that ChatGPT would serve as a better alternative.

Figure 1. Pie chart of ChatGPT vs. Netflix as a recommender system



Survey Opinions on Spotify vs. ChatGPT

The second part of the survey explored high school students' opinions on music recommendations from the streaming platform Spotify and ChatGPT. The survey displayed Spotify's recommended playlist (Appendix D) after inputting the top 10 music artists of 2023 and showed ChatGPT's recommended playlist (Appendix E) after inputting the same artists. The questions of the survey (Appendix F) asked participants to rate different aspects of Spotify's and ChatGPT's recommendations on a scale of 1 to 5.

As seen in Table 3, the opinions on the relevance, variety, and likelihood of usage of Spotify's music recommendations largely favored Spotify. For the rating of the relevance/personalization, 45.2% of high schoolers rated it a 4. This illustrates that many participants believed the recommendations were relevant to the content they originally listened to. For the rating of the variety/diversity, 41.7% of high schoolers rated it a 4. For the rating of the likelihood of usage, 32.4% of high schoolers rated it a 3.

Table 3: Percentage of Participants' Rating of the Relevance/Personalization, Variety/Diversity, and Likelihood of Usage of Spotify's Music Recommendations

Likert Scale:	1	2	3	4	5
Relevance/Personalization Variety/Diversity	6% 3.6%	6% 6%	29.8% 19%	45.2% 41.7%	 13.1% 29.8%



Likelihood of Usage	7.1%	16.7%	25%	32.1%	19%
---------------------	------	-------	-----	-------	-----

As seen in Table 4, there were varying opinions on the relevance, variety, and likelihood and usage of Spotify's music recommendations. For the rating of the relevance/personalization, 41.2% of high schoolers rated it a 4. This illustrates that many participants believed the recommendations were relevant to the content they originally listened to. For the rating of the variety/diversity, 34.1% of high schoolers rated it a 3. For the rating of the likelihood of usage, 34.1% of high schoolers rated it a 3. This establishes that some participants agreed that they would listen to a song from ChatGPT's recommendations.

Table 4: Percentage of Participants' Rating of the Relevance/Personalization, Variety/Diversity, and Likelihood of Usage of ChatGPT's Music Recommendations

Likert Scale:	1	2	3	4	5
Relevance/Personalization	2.4%	7.1%	22.4%	41.2%	27.1%
Variety/Diversity	5.9%	10.6%	34.1%	31.8%	17.6%
Likelihood of Usage	11.8%	15.3%	24.7%	34.1%	14.1%

The final survey question of the second half of the survey asked participants to choose whether they would use ChatGPT or Spotify as a recommender system to discover new music. The majority of participants, 71.4%, chose Spotify while a smaller percentage of respondents, 28.6%, chose ChatGPT. According to Millecample, Htun, Jin, and Verbert, technology researchers, Spotify places an emphasis on personalized recommendations for its users through personalized playlists such as "Discover Weekly" and "Release Radar." (Millecample et al., 2018). This personalization probably seemed most appealing to teenagers and caused them to utilize the app's recommendations more often.





Ultimately, the results of the quantitative analysis highlighted that high school students at Monroe Township High School preferred ChatGPT over Netflix for movie/TV show recommendations but preferred Spotify over ChatGPT for music recommendations. In other words, they were keen to utilize a new artificial intelligence, ChatGPT, to discover films and TV



shows to watch. However, they preferred to stick with an existing recommender system, Spotify, to discover new music.

Qualitative Results - Interviews

The second phase of this research process was an asynchronous, follow-up interview generating qualitative data. Similar to the qualitative phase of a study conducted by Jacobson, Hanson, and Zhou, survey respondents were randomly selected and contacted via email to see if they would be willing to participate in a follow-up interview (Jacobson et al., 2015). View Appendices G, H, and I to see the 3 versions of interview questions based on the answers that participants had in the previous survey.

As seen in Table 4, from the 7 responses detailing the choice of ChatGPT over Netflix as a movie/TV show recommender system, 4 participants believed ChatGPT was personalized, 2 participants believed ChatGPT was accurate, 2 participants believed ChatGPT had a vast amount of data, and 1 participants believed ChatGPT was easily comprehensible. Many participants expressed that ChatGPT had personalized recommendations because they received recommendations based on their unique tastes and preferences. Some participants portrayed that ChatGPT's recommendations were more accurate than Netflix due to its vast data network. One participant explained that ChatGPT's recommendations were easier to understand than Netflix's recommendations due to its explanations and tips.

Theme	Frequency (7 responses)	Examples
Personalization	4/7 = 57.14%	 "i would rather choose ChatGPT because on Netflix i noticed that the recommendations that i got were not exactly what i was looking for, and when i searched on ChatGPT i was able to find shows that are very similar to the ones i liked" "The results also catered to my taste more than Netflix." "I'd rather have recommendations that are closely related to what I previously watched and peak my interest" "ChatGPT gave recommendations that

 Table 4: Qualitative descriptions of choosing ChatGPT over Netflix as a recommender system



		are better suited to my taste. ChatGPT made the suggestions relevant towards my age and taste."
Accuracy	2/7 = 28.57%	 "Chat GPT is just more accurate than Netflix recommendations" "I said ChatGPT because I felt like their recommendations was more accurate compared to Netflix"
Vastness of Data	2/7 = 28.57%	 "I believe that since Netflix does not have the capacity to recommend movies outside of what they offer on Netflix their recommendations will be biased and limited while ChatGPT has no limitations to the movies it recommends" "ChatGPT recommends movies from all platforms whereas Netflix would only recommend the movies that they stream lessening the relevant suggestions."
Comprehensibility	1/7 = 14.29%	 "It was also more concise and minimalistic rather than Netflix which made it seem like a never ending matrix."

As seen in Table 5, from the 2 responses detailing the choice of ChatGPT over Spotify as a music recommender system, 1 participant believed ChatGPT was personalized and 1 participant believed ChatGPT was accurate. One participant expressed that ChatGPT had



personalized recommendations because they received recommendations based on their unique tastes and preferences. They expressed that the songs ChatGPT recommended were from music artists they enjoyed listening to as opposed to songs that other people enjoyed. One participant portrayed that ChatGPT's recommendations were more accurate than Spotify.

Theme	Frequency (2 responses)	Examples
Personalization	1/2 = 50%	- "I would rather choose ChatGPT because the suggestions made were by my favorite artists while the ones Spotify made were not and were rather, what others who listened to the same song I liked listened to."
Accuracy	1/2 = 50%	 "ChatGPT's recommendation was more accurate compared to Spotify"

Table 5: Qualitative descriptions of choosing ChatGPT over Spotify as a recommender system

As seen in Table 6, from the 2 responses detailing the choice of Netflix over ChatGPT as a movie/TV show recommender system, 1 participant believed Netflix was personalized and 1 participant believed Netflix was accurate. One participant expressed that Netflix had personalized recommendations because they received recommendations based on their likes and watch times. One participant portrayed that Netflix's recommendations were more accurate than ChatGPT because Netflix specifically targets movie recommendations and collects user data from likes and dislikes.

Theme	Frequency (2 responses)	Examples
Personalization	1/2 = 50%	 "Netflix has recommendations based on user likes and watch time. ChatGPT does not have this feature."
Accuracy	1/2 = 50%	 "While ChatGPT is a more general Language AI model,

Table 6: Qualitative descriptions of choosing Netflix over ChatGPT as a recommender system



		Netflix's recommender system is fine-tuned to specifically recommend movies. Additionally, Netflix collects data about how other users like or dislike shows, information that ChatGPT does not have."
--	--	--

As seen in Table 7, from the 7 responses detailing the choice of Spotify over ChatGPT as a movie/TV show recommender system, 6 participants believed Spotify was personalized, 2 participants believed Spotify was accurate, and 2 participants believed ChatGPT had a vast amount of data. Many participants expressed that Spotify had personalized recommendations because they enjoyed Spotify-curated playlists and received recommendations based on their unique tastes and preferences. Some participants portrayed that Spotify's recommendations were more accurate than Netflix due to its vast data network.

Theme	Frequency (7 responses)	Examples
Personalization	6/7 = 85.71%	 "i would rather choose spotify because when a song plays that is not in my playlist, i still end up liking it and i add it to my playlist" "but Spotify would be better for music recommendations over ChatGPT (bc Spotify is more personalized)" "I feel like it is more efficient to take recommendations from Spotify and there really is no need to employ ChatGPT because the recommendations are already accurate towards what I like and suit my music taste."

 Table 7: Qualitative descriptions of choosing Spotify over ChatGPT as a recommender system



		 "I also enjoy the made for you playlists and the catalog is easier to navigate and discover songs." "puts an emphasis on new music you haven't listened to before." "Spotify knows my likes and dislikes already, while ChatGPT does not. Also Spotify recommendations feel more personal."
Accuracy	2/7 = 28.57%	 "I have tried to use ChatGPT for music recommendations based on songs I like but I found that the recommendations aren't as accurate to what I was looking for. Spotify has recommended many new songs that I grew to like based on the songs I like in general so I trust its recommendations." "Spotify's algorithm is focused solely on music, collects data about its users to boosts its recommendations' accuracy"
Vastness of Data	2/7 = 28.57%	 "Spotify is strictly a music app and it streams all types of music so it would be better for music recommendations."



	 "I would rather use Spotify over Chat since I have been using it for almost 5 years now so it has more data."
--	---

Limitations

One limitation is that this study only compares ChatGPT's recommendations with the recommendations of Netflix and Spotify. These are only two entertainment recommender systems and do not reflect the recommendations of all systems on the Internet. Finally, another limitation is that ChatGPT is an artificial intelligence model with inherent biases. According to David Rozado, a researcher of Otago Polytechnic, ChatGPT is embedded with multiple biases and does not always provide balanced, neutral responses to questions (Rozado, 2023 pg. 5). Another limitation is that ChatGPT can recommend content from the entire Internet, while ChatGPT and Spotify can only recommend content from their databases. This makes Netflix and Spotify very limited in their recommendations, and can create a slight advantage in ChatGPT's recommendations.

Implications

The results of this study encourage new areas of research in the computer science field.. Since teenagers are one of the largest age groups using recommender systems such as Netflix and Spotify, these technology companies can use these findings to improve their entertainment recommender systems. In other words, they can implement the benefits of ChatGPT into their recommender algorithms and cater to the needs of their teenage customers.

Conclusion

Summary of Findings

To reiterate the analysis section of this research paper, high school students at High School acknowledged that they would rather use ChatGPT over Netflix as a movie/TV show recommender and they would rather use Spotify over ChatGPT as a music recommender. 63.5% of survey participants selected ChatGPT while 36.5% of survey respondents selected Netflix as a movie/TV show recommender. On the other hand, 71.4% of survey participants selected Spotify while 28.6% selected ChatGPT as a music recommender. This supports the findings of researchers Lui, Zhou, Lv, and Zhang who concluded that ChatGPT has (Lui et al., 2023). This also supports the findings of researchers Millecample, Htun, Jin, and Verbert who concluded that Spotify's emphasis on personalization may seem appealing to a younger audience (Millecample et al., 2018).

Changes to Hypothesis

While high schoolers did disclose that they would prefer ChatGPT over Netflix as a movie/TV show recommender system, the fact that teenagers would prefer Spotify over ChatGPT as a music recommender system has been contrary to the original hypothesis. The initial hypothesis for this study is that high school students at **Exercise 1** High School would prefer ChatGPT over both Netflix and Spotify as an entertainment recommender system for all types of entertainment content. This hypothesis was made based on the belief that teenagers are proficient with utilizing ChatGPT and would enjoy utilizing it as an entertainment recommender system. However, it is evident that high schoolers saw the benefits in using



ChatGPT for movie/TV show recommendations but not more music recommendations. Participants explained that ChatGPT is better than Netflix for movie/TV show recommendations because it has more relevant recommendations suited to their taste, offers helpful commentary, and utilizes vast amounts of data. Participants also justified that Spotify is better than ChatGPT for music recommendations because it has more personalized data due to many years of usage, the song options are easier to navigate, and places an emphasis on recommending songs that users have not already listened to.

Future Research and Implications

The findings of this research project can spur more research regarding entertainment recommender systems and ChatGPT. Researchers can explore the viewpoints of other age groups, besides high school students, on ChatGPT vs. existing recommender systems to recommend content. Researchers can also further explore the capabilities of ChatGPT outside the realm of recommendations. Additionally, major technology companies, like Netflix and Spotiy, utilizing entertainment recommender algorithms can learn about the wants of teenagers, who are one of the largest age groups using their recommendations. This way, they can significantly improve their recommendation algorithms and cater to the needs of their teenage users.



References

- Antaki, F., Touma, S., Milad, D., El-Khoury, J., & Duval, R. (2023). Evaluating the performance of chatgpt in ophthalmology: An analysis of its successes and shortcomings. *Ophthalmology Science*, 100324.
- Berman, J. (2019, January 24). *You, sex education, elite: Do teens own netflix now?*. Time. https://time.com/5509649/netflix-teens-gen-z/#:~:text=Last%20year%2C%20Business%2 0Insider%20interviewed,a%20third%20relied%20on%20YouTube
- Blum, A. (2022). Breaking chatgpt with dangerous questions understanding how chatgpt prioritizes safety, context, and obedience.
- Burke, R., Felfernig, A., & Göker, M. H. (2011). Recommender systems: An overview. *Ai Magazine*, *32*(3), 13-18.
- Di Palma, D., Biancofiore, G. M., Anelli, V. W., Narducci, F., Di Noia, T., & Di Sciascio, E. (2023). Evaluating ChatGPT as a Recommender System: A Rigorous Approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv*:2309.03613.
- Dong, Z., Chen, B., Liu, X., Polak, P., & Zhang, P. (2023). StreamFunnel: Facilitating Communication Between a VR Streamer and Many Spectators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06282*.
- IEEE Xplore. (1988, April). (PDF) Artificial Intelligence Definition, ethics and standards. Artificial intelligence-definition and practice. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332548325_Artificial_Intelligence_Definition_Ethics_and_Standards
- Jacobson, R. M., Hanson, W. E., & Zhou, H. (2015). Canadian psychologists' test feedback training and practice: A national survey. *Canadian Psychology/Psychologie canadienne*, *56*(4), 394.
- Ji, Y., Wu, W., Zheng, H., Hu, Y., Chen, X., & He, L. (2023). Is ChatGPT a Good Personality Recognizer? A Preliminary Study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.03952*.
- Jiang, Z., Xu, F. F., Araki, J., & Neubig, G. (2020). How can we know what language models know?. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, *8*, 423-438.
- Kalla, D., & Smith, N. (2023). Study and Analysis of Chat GPT and its Impact on Different Fields of Study. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 8(3).
- Leedy, P.D., & Ormrod, J. E. (2023). *Practical Research: Planning and Design* (12th ed.). Pearson
- Liu, J., Liu, C., Lv, R., Zhou, K., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Is chatgpt a good recommender? a preliminary study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10149*.
- Millecamp, M., Htun, N. N., Jin, Y., & Verbert, K. (2018, July). Controlling spotify recommendations: effects of personal characteristics on music recommender user interfaces. In *Proceedings of the 26th Conference on user modeling, adaptation and personalization* (pp. 101-109).
- Ray, P. P. (2023). ChatGPT: A comprehensive review on background, applications, key challenges, bias, ethics, limitations and future scope. *Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical Systems*.



- Reddy, M. M., Kanmani, R. S., & Surendiran, B. (2020, February). Analysis of Movie Recommendation Systems; with and without considering the low rated movies. In 2020 International Conference on Emerging Trends in Information Technology and Engineering (ic-ETITE) (pp. 1-4). IEEE.
- Schramowski, P., Turan, C., Andersen, N., Rothkopf, C. A., & Kersting, K. (2022). Large pre-trained language models contain human-like biases of what is right and wrong to do. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, *4*(3), 258-268.
- Trichopoulos, G., Konstantakis, M., Alexandridis, G., & Caridakis, G. (2023). Large Language Models as Recommendation Systems in Museums. *Electronics*, *12*(18), 3829. MDPI AG. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/electronics12183829
- Varela, D., & Kaun, A. (2019). The Netflix experience: a user-focused approach to the Netflix recommendation algorithm.

IEEE Xplore. (1988, April). (*PDF*) Artificial Intelligence Definition, ethics and standards. Artificial intelligence-definition and practice. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332548325_Artificial_Intelligence_Definition_Ethi cs_and_Standards



Appendices

Appendix A:

Netfin Recommendation (Input Justice League)

Recommendations (with Netflix's synposes) -

- Jake Web; When a gangster's son steals his car and kills his dog, fearless ex-hit manJohn Wick takes on the antire mob to get his revenge.

 Lest in Space. After crash-banding on an alien pionet, the fisherson family fights against all odds to survive and outcape. But they're surrounded by Nidden dangers.

- Trail When an ancient troll is availaned in a Norwegian mountain, a regtag group of hences must come together to try and eticp it from weaking deaily haves.

Adam Project. Time-traveling fighter priot Adam Reed teams up with his 12-year-old self for a mission to save the future after unicontismally stash landing in 2022.

 A Yampine in the Family. When a faint hearted on soccer player learns his brother in law is a sampline with world-demination plane, he must pather his coursage to save the day.

 Obliterated. A special forces have thearts a deadly plot in the City and parties accordingly But when the real threat emerges, they must acted up to save the city.



Northic Recommendation: (Input: Badrimath II) Dufhania --- Bollywood Nim)

acommentations

- Jodhas Akhari in 18th-century India, what leagns as a strategic allance between a blughal emperior and a Hindu process becomes a genuine opportunity for true lave.

Ham Saath-Saath Haie: A moguided mattarch plots of cut off her stepson and his wife from the family fortune, creating a vision within the once happs, tightly-knit class.

Devale: A young couple fails in love, but conflicting logalities drive them apart. Years later, fate intervenes and hands: them a second chance.

- Happy New Year. A reverge-seeking diamond third pathens a rapitag crew to infittude a Dubai hotel hosting a dance context. But first they have to learn how to dance.

Desam Girl 2: Karam secretly same his female after ego into a dencer and wore a millionane's
son in hopes of paying family debts and impressing his figurate's father.

 Kabhi Khushi Kabhis Gham: Vears ofter the father disserts his adopted brother for manying a woman of lower social standing, a young man goes on a massion to reunite his family.



Netfin Recommendation (Jeput Young Sheldow)

- another ----

- Ginny & Georgia: Free-spinted Georgia and her two kids, Ginny and Austin, move north in search of a freeh start but find that the road to new beginnings can be burge:

- Commanity: When his bogue law dogree is expresed, Jeff Winger goes back to college and forms a study group of outcasts.

- Colors Kall Decodes after the tournament that changed their lives, the rively between Johnny and Daniel reignites in this separal to the 'Karale Kall' films,

- Shacare Fuzy of the Sodis: When vergetul gods threaten to serie Shacarni powers, it's up to electricking Billy Battoot to keep his superfere oblings together and save the world.

 The Ranch: Bixing a pro-athletic didn't pan out for Colt. Now hat's helping his dad and brother keep the ranch affoad, and figuring out how the file into the family.

- Ugly Betty: An aspiring writer works her way up the ladder at a fashion magazine, illegible her taaks wardrote choices and doubting coversers.





Challent

Containity' if you enjoyed "Justice Langue." you might like other sugarhere movies and TV shows, as well as other form with similar therees, rises are sume recommendations.

Movies:

- The Averagers (2012) Directed by Juss Wheelon, Itis Ton brings Togethel Isaric Mercel Superformer.
- Wender Woman (2017) A standations film leafuring the DC character Wonder Woman, directed by Pathy Jenkine.
- Aquaman (2016) Directed by James War, this DC film explores the anderwater works and the character Aquamen.
- Back Panther (2018) Marvel's film directed by Eyen Coopler, focusing an the character TChubs and the fictional African nation of Walands.
- Logar (2017) A tanker and more mature take on the Welserbei character them the X-Men presents.

TV Shows

- The Flash Follows Barry Alleri, a forensic scientist who gates signed and becomes the superheavieween as The Flash.
- Arrow Oversides the journey of Oliver Queen as he becomes the siglants archer known as Green Arrow.
- In Davedwell Part of this Marcel Constraint Universe, this server follows follow former when Marchice, who fights crime as the masked vigilante Davedwell.
- Annica Janua Another Marvel saries, had using a femilar superfamiliaries furned private investigator with superfrontee strength.
- The Bays A darker take on superformer, the server exprores the compil and commentialized world of superformer.

These recommendations cover a mix of Marvel and OC properties, as well as varying tones and styles within the experimes genes. Drips your watching! (2) \oplus (3) \oplus

ChatGPT

"Bademath Ki Dulhama" is allothysiosid nonantic connects Tans. If you enjoyed it, you regist like other Bollywood Tanss and contactic comedies. Here are some recommendations.

Gollywood Films

- Hamply Sharma Ki Daharia (2016). A remarks consider from starting Varial Disease and Alla Balt, with a storyine that shares considered interests with "Baltmath Ki Daharia."
- 2 Disais Duheria La Japenge (1990) A massic Bulywood romantic film that has became a nutural phonomenon. It stars Shah Rush Rhan and Kopi and is a must wait the for any Bichwood esthusiant.
- 2 States (2014) Issued on Cherlan Bragat's most, this lifer help the story of a couple Nami different states in India and the challenges help face in convercing their parents to approve their inclusion/pp.
- Jabariya Jodi (2019) A romantic converty starting Sidharth Mathema and Parinaetti Chopra, with a unique storyline investigate forced marriages in Bihat.
- Shutch Deal Rumance (2013): A contemporary take on relationships and contemprese, stanting Sumant Singh Reput and Panteers Chapra.

TV Shows

- We Rohts Kys Kettala Hall: A single survey balant transition terms that resolves around the lives of halfs and Alebara and their extended families.
- Inhybease: A somartic, chemic series that follows the lives of three bruthers and their lives interests.
- Kumbum Ebegga A popular Indian terministic crums that exposes the love story of Abili and Propula.
- Kasauth Zindagi Kay The induct of the classic series, this show follows the complicated liver easy of Anarlag and Premis.
- Pysar Ka Dard Ha Mostha Mostha Pysara Pysara A connector crome server that device into the complexities of low and relationships.

These recommendations should offer a role of Bolywood romanos and trama, similar to the themes in "Bedrinath Ki Dulhiena," Eropy your watching!

Appendix B:

Churchet

Processing with "Theory Devictors," a spect-off pressure to "The dig Deep Treasy," you regist appreciate other shows and musica with 4 min of contexty and contexty of age themse. Here, are some recommendations:

Tri Shows

- 2. The log long Theory: Server you ensued "Young Shellow," you regist want to each his parent show, which focuses an the solution of Shellow Cospan and the group of Theorie.
 2. Manualmin the Walder: Follows the missaiventures of a principal systemation.
- Institle class family with a facus on the the of the youngest suit. Maccolin. 2. **The Goldbergs** - bet in the fattice, this alcune follows the Goldberg family, with
- particular focus in the prunped aim, Adam, who assuments to bandy's the with his critics camera.
- Wonder Yoars: A classes coming of age series that explores the three Aresta as free completes addressment in the site 1980s, and early 1970s.
- Nearger This series follows a summaria her Kits also presents to be in her 20x10 secure a pill in the competitive works of publishing.

Manufact.

- The Sandlet (2008) A next argin coming of ear film almul a group of kets and then advectures in basedial during the summer of 2003.
- 2 Shand by We (1994) Second of a Stephen iting results, this film follows have been on a counter to find the body of a manipp key and explanes thereas of handeling and
- Ferris Sucher's Day OF/0800 A cause service with these a high school statement who stags when he a day of adventure in Disagan.
- The Parks of Boing a Multimeer (2012): A control of ups provide leased on the related the same name, dealing with the challenges of additionance.
- Expline Enable (2018): A modern convergion app film that may see the struggles and experiments of a young get in her last week of eights grade.

These economics barries cover a range of samable and coming of age thereas, amount to the torus of "fluing Cheldon" Draip your reasing:

2000



Appendix C:

	1	2	0.0	24	5	
		Sector 1		1.040		
Not at all relevant	0	0	0	0	0	Extremely relevant
te the variety/divers	ity (mix of i	movies & T	V shows) o	of Netflix's	recommen	dations:*
	1	2	3	4	5	
Not at all diverse	0	0	0	0	0	Extremely diverse
te the likelihood you commendations:	are to wat	ch a movie	/TV Show	based on N	letflix's	•
	1	2	3	4	5	
Not at all likely	0	0	0	0	0	Extremely likely
	ersonalizat	ion of Chat	GPT's reco	mmendatio	ns:*	
Rate the relevance/p	1	ion of Chat	GPT's reco	mmendatic 4	ns:* 5	
	1					Extremely relevant
Rate the relevance/p Not at all relevant	1	z O	3 ()	•	5 ()	
Rate the relevance/p Not at all relevant	1	z O	3 ()	•	5 ()	
Rate the relevance/p Not at all relevant	1 O	2 O f movies &	3 O TV shows)	4 O	5 O	
Rate the relevance/p Not at all relevant Rate the variety/dive Not at all diverse Rate the likelihood y	1 O rsity (mix o 1 O	z o f movies & 2 o	3 O TV shows) 3 O	4 of ChatGP 4 O	5 Carecomm 5 O	rendations: * Extremely diverse
Rate the relevance/p Not at all relevant Rate the variety/dive	1 O rsity (mix o 1 O	z o f movies & 2 o	3 O TV shows) 3 O	4 of ChatGP 4 O	5 Carecomm 5 O	rendations: * Extremely diverse





Appendix D:



Appendix E:

CTuBLPT		

Centerely Henris a 6-hour playtet that includes a diverse selection of songs here the artists way mentioned.

- 1. Taylor Bullt Shake 8 OF
- 3. Bed Burrry "Dakity" (with Jhay Contec)
- 3. The Weeked "Starboy" (R. Daft Pure)
- 4. Drate "In My Feelings"
- S. Peis Plana 'Voverle & Ver'
- il: Feid-"Furnation"
- 1. Travis Scott "Geocetoumpe" (ft. Reochick Leman)
- II. SZA "Love Galors" IN. Travis Scott
- II. KAROL & "Ahors Me Llama" In: Bad Burnsh
- 13. Lana Del Rey "Video Games"
- 11. Taylor Swith "Lover Disry"
- 11. Bad Burry "Sig Petr"
- 11. The Weeknot "Carril Feet My Face"
- 14. Drake "Noe For Whet"
- 11. PeopParts-Value Tax?
- 10. Fest-Montal
- 17 Travis Scott "Stargacing"
- 11. 524 "The Westerst"
- 11 KAROLG-TUNPH NICKMAND
- 20. Lone Del Rey "Young and Result/ul"
- 11. Taylor Sailt 'Cardgar'
- 11. Balthamy 'Net?'
- 23. The Weeknat "Binuting Lights"
- 21. Date 'Got's Part'
- 11. Perc Plana. "Mr Guttat"
- 21. Peed- "Perfecta" (with Jullin Quiles)
- 17. Travis Scott "SIGKD MODE"
- 18. 57A "Inciden Clocker"
- 21. KAROLG BICHOTA'
- 23. Lona Del Rey 'Blue Joans'
- 11. Taylor Sailt 'Deicale'
- 22. Red Burry "La Modelo" (R. Carol 45
- 11. The Weekrul "Feel it Carning" (h. Daft Punk)
- 21. Drate "Hotion Bling"
- 11. Pest Ports 'Tr Dolla"
- III. PHI-TPORTAT
- 27. Tiwn both "Annow"
- 20. 52A "Ones Barrymore"
- 10 KAROL G "Ease" OL NICH Mesch
- #3. Lana Del Rey "Suremantine Sadness" (Cechic Genual Remo)

14

13 The Weaking - 'Cain's Reek My Rece?' 11. Online - Nice Fur What? 12. Pess Pluma - Yodga Tuya" III. Feid-'Whors' TT. Travis Scott - "Slargestry" 18. SZA - "The Heatons" 11. KAROL G - "Tuss" DL NICH MINE! 22. Lanz Del Key - "Young and Resultiful" 11. Taylor Seith - "Cendger" 22. Bad Burry - 'Vete' 22. The Weeked - "Blinding Lights" 24. Drake - "Goats Plan" 22 Peer Plana - 'Me Guerae' 25 Feld - Perfects' Exits Autor Donest 27. Travis Scott - "SICHO MODE" 211. SZA - "Stoker Clocker" 31. KAROLG - 'BCHOTA' 30. Lone Der Rey - "Blue Jepre" 11. Taylor Swith - 'Delizate' 11. Bat Burry - "La Nobeis" (R. Gardi El-33. The Weeknol- '1 Feet & Conting' (N. Datt Puria) 34. Date - "Holine bling" 31. Pese Flatta - Te Chatt? 30. Ped-PORA* 31: Travis Scirit - "Antidote" 38. 52A : "DrewBarrymere" 20. KAROL G - "Suue" (It. Nicki Minagi 211. Long Del Rey - "Sammertime Sacinees" (Cedel: Gervale Renia) 41. Taylor Seitt - Styler 42. Bad Borry - "Si Vec a Tu Marrie" 43. The Weekerd - "Save Your Tears" 42. Oraka - "Started Four the Bottom" 41. Pean Pluma - "Osarisma" 40. Feld-"Wyshoa" 47. Toxia Scott - 'Bullerity Effect' 48, 524 - Yugermodel 41. KAROL G- "As DIOLMIS" 10. Long Del Rey - "Born to Die"

Feel free to shuffle the playflat for a varied listening experience. Dropy your 6-hour

muteral (survey)

21

Appendix F:

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not at all relevant	0	0	ō	0	0	Extremely relevant
Rate the variety/dive	rsity (mix	of genres	& artists) of Spoti	fy's recom	mendation: *
	1	2	3	4	5	
Not at all diverse	0	0	0	0	0	Extremely diverse
Rate the likelihood yo	vu are to u	se Spotif	y's playlis	e.*		
	1	z	3	4	5	
Not at all likely		0			0	Extremely likely
ate the relevance/pers	onalizatio	n of ChatG	PT's play	lst.*		
ate the relevance/pers	1	2	3	*	•	Extremely rolevant
Not at all relevant.	0	2	3 0	•	0	Extremely relevant
Not at all relevant.	1 O	2	3 O	4 O	0	Estremely relevant
Not at all relevant.	1 O Y (mix of a	2 O	3 O res) of O J	4 O) leylist:* 8	Extremely relevant
Not at all relevant. ate the variety/diversat	1 O y (mix of a i	2 ortists/gen 2 o	3 O res) of C 3 O	4 0 44GPTs p 4 0) leylist:* 8	
Not at all relevant ate the variety/diversit Not at all diverse	1 O y (mix of a i	2 ortists/gen 2 o	3 O res) of C 3 O	4 0 44GPTs p 4 0	O leylist:* 8	

Appendix G:

On the survey, you indicated that you would choose ChatGPT over Netflix as a recommende system to discover movies/TV shows.	r *
Why would you rather choose ChatGPT? What benefits does ChatGPT have that Netflix does not?	
.ong answer text	
On the survey, you indicated that you would choose Spotify over ChatGPT as a recommende system to discover new music.	er *
	er *



Appendix H:

On the survey, you indicated that you would choose ChatGPT over Netflix as a recommender system to discover movies/TV shows.	•
Why would you rather choose ChatGPT? What benefits does ChatGPT have that Netflix does not?	
ong answer text	
On the survey, you indicated that you would choose ChatGPT over Spotify as a recommender system to discover new music.	
Why would you rather choose ChatGPT? What benefits does ChatGPT have that Spotify does not?	



Appendix I:

