Foundations of Cryogenic Rocket Propulsion:

Unlocking the Future of Deep-Space Exploration

Joel Anto

Abstract:

Innovations in rocket propulsion are vital to expanding humanity's reach into space. This paper focuses on the basics of cryogenic rocket engines —propulsion systems that utilize supercooled propellants (liquid oxygen and hydrogen)—to explore their role in current and future space missions. Cryogenic engines offer high efficiency and thrust-to-weight ratio, making them perfect for missions requiring heavy payloads and precise orbital maneuvers. This paper also explores the fundamental principles and current applications of cryogenic propulsion, drawing on case studies from different rockets such as the Saturn V, Space Shuttle, and GSLV Mk III. Despite inherent issues, such as complex cooling systems and high operational costs, emerging innovations in reusability and advanced propellant technologies have paved the way for improved performance. Ultimately, cryogenic propulsion emerged as an essential enabler of deep-space exploration, promising to reshape the future of human spaceflight.

Key Words: cryogenic rocket engines; space propulsion; interplanetary travel; reusable engines; deep-space exploration; supercooled propellants.

1. Introduction:

Imagine a future where humanity not only explores but flourishes in the incalculability of space—a future where journeys to Mars, the Moon, and beyond become routine. Rocket propulsion has transfigured space exploration and technological advancement, enabling humans to venture beyond Earth. Among the various propulsion technologies, cryogenic rocket engines always stand out for their incomparable efficiency and capability in high-performance missions. The rocket engine uses a cryogenic fuel and oxidizer; that is, its fuel and oxidizer are gases liquefied and stored at very low temperatures to achieve unparalleled thrust-to-weight ratios and fuel efficiencies. This paper explores the fundamentals, application, and future potential of cryogenic propulsion within the broader context of space travel, investigating its transformative power and its challenges.

2. Fundamentals of Rocket Propulsion:

2.1 What is Rocket Propulsion?

Rocket propulsion is the mechanism that generates thrust to move a rocket forward by expelling high-speed exhaust gases [1] in the opposite direction. Rocket propulsion operates on the principle of momentum conservation, as governed by Newton's Third Law, which states,

> "For every action, there is an equal and opposite reaction."

In terms of rocket propulsion, here's how it works:
When a rocket engine fires, it expels gas out of the back of the rocket at high velocity (the action). According to Newton's Third Law, there is an equal and opposite reaction to this expulsion of gas. And? This reaction pushes the rocket forward, which is away from the surface of the Earth.

Now, when it comes to Rocket propulsion, it mainly deals with two components:

- Fuel: The substance burned to produce thrust
- Rocket Engine: The mechanism that converts the energy from the fuel into motion.

Fuel for Rocket Propulsion: An effective analogy for fuel is the musical notes required to create a song. In the context of rockets:

- Fuel: The substance that is burnt or oxidized to produce the energy required for thrust. It is always accompanied by an oxidizer; for instance, liquid hydrogen is a great fuel.
- Oxidizer: A substance that provides oxygen or another oxidizing element to enable the combustion of fuel; for example, liquid oxygen is a great oxidizer.

Together, the fuel and oxidizer make up the propellant.

A propellant is a chemical substance that is used to produce thrust in rocket engines. The propellant creates the chemical reaction needed to generate the high-speed gases that propel the rocket forward.

The efficiency of propulsion is determined by:

Specific Impulse (Isp) [2]: a measure of how efficiently a rocket engine uses its propellant to generate thrust. It represents the amount of thrust produced per unit of propellant consumed per second.

Mathematically, it is defined as:

$$Isp= T/ m. g0$$

Where:

- Isp = Specific impulse (in seconds)
- T = Thrust (in Newtons), (T is the force generated by the engine.)
- m = Mass flow rate of the propellant (kg/s)
- g0= Standard gravitational acceleration (9.81 m/s²)

2.2 Types of Rocket Propellants:

Rocket propellants come in three major forms:

TYPE	Fuel State	Thrust Control?	Specific Impulse (s)	Used In
Solid	Solid	No	180–300	Boosters
Liquid	Liquid	Yes	300–450	Orbital Launch Vehicles
Hybrid	Solid + Liquid	Partial	~250–350	Experimental Systems

Solid propellant:

Solid propellants are a pre-mixed combination of fuel and oxidizer, forming a hard, rubbery substance.
Example: Ammonium perchlorate (oxidizer) mixed with powdered aluminum (fuel).

Advantages:

- Simple and reliable (no moving parts).
- It can be stored for long periods without degradation.
- High thrust output, making them ideal for missiles and boosters.

Disadvantages:

- Once ignited, they cannot be stopped or controlled.
- Less efficient than liquid propellants in specific impulse (thrust per unit of fuel).

Examples in Use: Space Shuttle Solid Rocket Boosters (SRBs) [3]

Liquid Propellant:

Fuel and oxidizer are stored separately in liquid form and mixed in the combustion chamber.
Example: Liquid hydrogen (LH_2) as fuel, liquid oxygen (LOX) [4] as the oxidizer.

Advantages:

- Thrust control is possible (can be throttled or shut down mid-flight).
- Higher efficiency than solid propellants.

Disadvantages:

- Requires complex plumbing and cryogenic storage.
- More expensive and prone to failures (due to leaks or pump malfunctions).

Examples in Use: SpaceX Falcon 9 (RP-1 kerosene + LOX).

Hybrid Propellant:

Uses a solid fuel and a liquid oxidizer, combining aspects of both solid and liquid propulsion.
Example: Hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB) as solid fuel + liquid nitrous oxide (N_2O) as the oxidizer.

Advantages:

- Thrust can be controlled (unlike solid propellants).
- Safer than pure liquid rockets (simpler system, fewer chances of explosion).

Disadvantages:

- Still less efficient than liquid propellants.
- Development is ongoing, and they are not widely used.

Examples in Use: Virgin Galactic’s SpaceShipTwo.

3. Evolution of rocket propulsion

3.1 Ancient Beginnings: Gunpowder Rockets:

The first steps toward rocket technology were taken in 13th-century China with gunpowder rockets [5]. A tube like a cannon, packed with gunpowder, set the stage for the earliest explosives. Although these rockets were rudimentary developments in military technology, weaponry, and fireworks, they were simple systems of propulsion, as they expelled gases in one direction while creating thrust in the opposite direction.

3.2 Modern Developments: The 20th Century:

The 20th Century was the official transition to rocketry, from unpowered projectiles to powered, sought-after things in the line of human necessity and desire.

Robert Goddard Liquid-Fueled Rocket of 1926 [6]: The first liquid-fueled rocket (liquid oxygen and gasoline) equipped with guidance systems for launching and direction.

V-2 Rocket of 1944 [7]: The first long-range ballistic missile (ethanol and oxygen) developed during wartime, which broke through the Earth's atmosphere and opened up a new realm of rocketry for humanity.

4. Introduction to Rocket Engines and Cryogenic Engines:

4.1 Rocket Engines:

Rocket engines are propulsion systems [8] that use the principle of Newton's Third Law of Motion [9] to generate thrust.

Thrust is the force that propels a rocket forward by expelling exhaust gases in the opposite direction.

This principle can be mathematically expressed as:

$$T = mve + (Pe - P0)Ae$$

Where:

- T = Thrust (N)
- m = Mass flow rate of expelled gases (kg/s)
- ve = Exhaust velocity of gases (m/s)
- Pe = Exit pressure of the exhaust (Pa)
- $P0$ = Ambient pressure (Pa)
- Ae = Nozzle exit area (m^2)

Rocket engine thrust depends on exhaust gas exit pressure and both mass flow rate and exhaust velocity. Rocket engines create forward motion by sending mass rapidly out of the system, which generates an equal-and-opposite reaction force.

4.2 Types of rocket engines:

4.2.1 Solid Rocket Engines: These rocket propulsion systems operate from solid mixtures of fuel together with oxidizers. When started, the solid propellant continues to burn until it has exhausted all its fuel supply.

Here is the breakdown of the Solid Rocket engine:

- **Propellant:** The chemical substances produce thrust through their solid, liquid, or hybrid formations.
- **Combustion Chamber:** Fuel and oxidizer fluids combine and burn in this area to produce high-pressure and high-temperature gas.
- **Ignition System:** The system responsible for starting the fuel and oxidizer mixture.
- **Nozzle:** This tube, shaped like a nozzle, accelerates escaping gas to produce thrust.

Solid propellants are advantageous because they are simple and reliable due to the absence of moving parts and allows them to be stored for long periods without degradation, making them ideal for missiles and booster stages in launch vehicles.

However, solid propellants also come with notable disadvantages. Once ignited, they cannot be shut off or throttled, limiting mission flexibility. Their efficiency, measured in specific impulse, is generally lower compared to liquid propellants. Moreover, the inability to control or restart the burn makes solid propellant engines less adaptable for complex space maneuvers.

Here are three detailed examples of solid rocket engines, including their propellants, effectiveness:

1. Space Shuttle Solid Rocket Boosters (SRBs) [3]
Propellant: Ammonium Perchlorate Composite Propellant (APCP), which includes ammonium perchlorate (oxidizer), powdered aluminum (fuel), and a binder (polybutadiene acrylonitrile).
Effectiveness: The specific impulse (ISP) was approximately 242 seconds at sea level and 268 seconds in a vacuum.

2. PSLV Solid Rocket Motor
Propellant: Hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB), which acts as the binder, fuel, and oxidizer.
Effectiveness: The specific impulse (ISP) was approximately 269 seconds.

4.2.2 Liquid Rocket Engine:

Liquid rocket engines are such type of propulsion systems that use liquid propellants, which are made of fuel and oxidizer that are in the liquid state. As such, these engines provide a high level of control and efficiency, which makes them suitable for several space missions.

It almost has the same parts as the solid rocket engine, but since the propellant is liquid, they use a specific part, which is the **Injector Plate**.

Here is the breakdown of the Liquid Rocket engine:

- **Propellant:** The chemical substances produce thrust through their solid, liquid, or hybrid formations.
- **Combustion Chamber:** Fuel and oxidizer fluids combine and burn in this area to produce high-pressure and high-temperature gas.
- **Injector Plate:** The fuel and oxidizer receive a full mixture and atomization for better burning efficiency.
- **Ignition System:** The system responsible for starting the fuel and oxidizer mixture.
- **Nozzle:** This tube, shaped like a nozzle, accelerates escaping gas to produce thrust.

Engine Cycles:

Engine Cycles refer to the different methods used to manage the flow of propellants and drive the turbopumps in liquid rocket engines.
Open Cycle (Gas-Generator Cycle): A portion of the propellant is burned in a preburner to drive the engine's turbopumps, and the exhaust gases from the preburner are expelled overboard.
Closed Cycle (Staged Combustion Cycle): All of the propellant is burned in the main combustion chamber, with the turbopumps driven by exhaust gases fed back into the main combustion chamber.
Expander Cycle: The fuel is used to cool the engine before being combusted in the main chamber. The heated fuel expands and drives the turbopumps.
Full Flow Staged Cycle: Both the fuel and oxidizer are fully combusted in separate preburners and then combined in the main combustion chamber for maximum efficiency and thrust.

Liquid propellants, stored separately as fuel and oxidizer in liquid form, offer several key advantages in rocket propulsion. They allow for precise thrust control, meaning the engine can be throttled, shut down, or restarted as needed—features critical for orbital adjustments and mission flexibility. Furthermore, liquid engines generally have higher specific impulse values than their solid counterparts, translating to more efficient fuel usage and better overall performance.

Despite these benefits, liquid propulsion systems introduce significant engineering complexity. They require intricate plumbing and turbopump mechanisms to manage fuel flow and mixing, which increases the likelihood of mechanical failure. Additionally, many liquid propellants—such as liquid hydrogen and oxygen—must be stored at cryogenic temperatures, demanding advanced insulation and storage technology. These requirements make liquid systems more costly and technically demanding to design, maintain, and operate.

Here are three detailed examples of liquid rocket engines, including the type of engine cycle used, the fuel, and their effectiveness:

1. Space Shuttle Main Engine (SSME):

Engine Cycle: Staged Combustion Cycle (Closed Cycle)
Fuel: LH2 and LOX
Effectiveness: specific impulse of around 453 seconds in a vacuum.

2. Falcon 9 Merlin Engine:

Engine Cycle: Gas-Generator Cycle (Open Cycle)

Fuel: RP-1 and LOX
Effectiveness: specific impulse of approximately 282 seconds in a vacuum.

3. Saturn V F-1 Engine

Engine Cycle: Gas-Generator Cycle (Open Cycle)

Fuel: RP-1 and LOX
Effectiveness: specific impulse of around 263 seconds in a vacuum.

4.2.3 Hybrid rocket engine:

A hybrid-propellant rocket is a kind of rocket engine that employs a solid propellant and a liquid or gas oxidizer. This engine design is a hybrid between solid and liquid rocket engines, giving it more controllability without much added complexity.

Hybrid propellant systems since combine elements of both solid and liquid propulsion by using a solid fuel in conjunction with a liquid or gaseous oxidizer. This configuration offers a balance between simplicity and controllability. One of the primary advantages of hybrid engines is their ability to throttle and shut down mid-flight—an improvement over traditional solid motors. Additionally, they are generally safer to handle and store, as the fuel and oxidizer are kept in separate states, reducing the risk of accidental ignition.

However, hybrid systems also face notable challenges. Their combustion process is often less stable and efficient than that of fully liquid engines, leading to lower specific impulse values. Moreover, their performance consistency can be affected by uneven fuel regression during burns. Despite these limitations, hybrid engines remain a promising area of research, particularly for applications that demand enhanced safety and operational control with reduced system complexity.

Hybrid Rocket Engines Examples:

Virgin Galactic's SpaceShipTwo RocketMotorTwo:
Engine Cycle: Hybrid Rocket Propulsion
Fuel: Hydroxyl-Terminated Polybutadiene (HTPB)
Oxidizer: Nitrous Oxide (N_2O)
Effectiveness: Specific impulse of around **250-270 seconds** in a vacuum.

Copenhagen Suborbitals' BPM-5 Rocket:
Engine Cycle: Hybrid Rocket Propulsion
Fuel: Paraffin Wax
Oxidizer: Liquid Oxygen (LOX)
Effectiveness: Specific impulse of approximately **210-250 seconds** in a vacuum.

Scaled Composites SpaceShipOne RocketMotorOne:

Engine Cycle: Hybrid Rocket Propulsion
Fuel: Hydroxyl-Terminated Polybutadiene (HTPB)
Oxidizer: Nitrous Oxide (N_2O)
Effectiveness: Specific impulse of around **250 seconds** in a vacuum.

4.2.4 Cryogenic engines:

What is a **cryogenic engine**?

A cryogenic engine comes under the same category as a Liquid propellant engine. A cryogenic engine is a type of rocket engine [10] that uses liquefied gases as fuel and oxidizers. The term cryogenic refers to the fact that these liquefied gases must be kept at cryogenic temperatures, that is, very low temperatures (below -150 degrees centigrade).

Cryogenic engines, too, have Engine Cycles, but the widely used cycle is the **Gas-Generator Cycle (Open Cycle).**

Key compounds of the cryogenic engine:

- **Combustion Chamber:** Fuel and oxidizer fluids combine and burn in this area to produce high-pressure and high-temperature gas.
- **Injector Plate:** The fuel and oxidizer receive a full mixture and atomization for better burning efficiency.
- **Ignition System:** The system responsible for starting the fuel and oxidizer mixture.
- **Turbopumps for Fuel and Oxidizers:** pump the cryogenic fuel and oxidizer into the combustion chamber at high pressure
- **Cryogenic Valves:** regulate the flow of cryogenic propellants between the storage tanks and the combustion chamber, maintaining control and preventing any leakage or freezing issues.
- **Regulators:** These components stabilize the pressure in the propellant lines.
- **Nozzle:** This tube, shaped like a nozzle, accelerates escaping gas to produce thrust.

Working Principle of Cryogenic Engines:

Explain how the cryogenic engine operates:

The cryogenic propellants (e.g., liquid hydrogen and liquid oxygen) are stored in insulated tanks at extremely low temperatures. The turbopumps drive the propellants into the Gas generator at high pressure. Gas Generator is used to deliver of sufficient amount of driver gas at the designed temperature a pressure, which generates a continuous propellant supply to the combustion chamber. In the combustion chamber, the injector plate mixes and atomizes the propellants for efficient burning. The ignition system initiates combustion, producing high-temperature gases. These gases are expelled through the nozzle at high velocity to generate thrust.

Commonly, liquid hydrogen (LH_2) serves as the fuel, and liquid oxygen (LOX) functions as the oxidizer for cryogenic engines. Due to their high energy density and clean combustion products (mainly water vapor), cryogenic propellants are considered ideal for long-duration and heavy-lift missions. The working principle involves cooling and storing the propellants in insulated tanks, pressurizing them via turbopumps, and mixing them efficiently in the combustion chamber, where they are ignited to generate high-velocity exhaust gases that produce thrust.

The advantages of cryogenic engines are significant. They deliver extremely high specific impulse values—often exceeding 450 seconds—making them among the most efficient chemical propulsion systems available. This efficiency allows for precise orbital maneuvers and the transportation of heavy payloads over vast distances. Moreover, the clean combustion process positions cryogenic systems as environmentally favorable compared to solid or hypergolic alternatives.

Despite these strengths, cryogenic propulsion poses several engineering and logistical challenges. Maintaining propellant stability requires advanced insulation systems and cryogenic handling technologies. The complexity of turbopump mechanisms, susceptibility to thermal losses, and high production and operational costs add to the technical burden. Nonetheless, innovations in reusable cryogenic engines and supercooled propellant technologies are helping to mitigate these issues, positioning cryogenic propulsion as a cornerstone of future deep-space exploration.

Application of Cryogenic engines:

CE-20 Engine: Developed by ISRO, this cryogenic engine is used in the upper stage of the GSLV Mk III rocket. With a specific impulse of about 444 seconds, it has proven instrumental in launching payloads like Chandrayaan and satellites into high orbits.

RL10 Engine: This American-built engine, used in rockets like Atlas V and Delta IV, boasts a high Isp of around 465 seconds. Its reliability has made it a key player in numerous successful satellite and interplanetary missions.

Vulcain Engine: Integral to the European Ariane 5 rocket, the Vulcain engine uses liquid hydrogen and liquid oxygen to achieve an Isp of about 434 seconds. It's been a cornerstone for Europe's satellite launch capabilities.

NASA's Saturn V Rocket relied on cryogenic engines to propel the Apollo missions to the Moon. Achieving an Isp of 421 seconds (Second Stage (S-II) - J-2 Engines and Third Stage (S-IVB) - J-2 Engine).

5. Comparative Analysis (Cryogenic vs. Other Engine Types):

Engine Type	Thrust Control	Efficiency (Isp)	Complexity	Cost	Examples	Remarks
Solid Fuel	no	180-300 s	Low	Low	Space Shuttle SRBs, ICBMs	Simple & reliable but non-throttleable; best for boosters.
Liquid Fuel	Yes	300-450 s	High	Moderate	SpaceX Falcon 9, Saturn V	Throttling & restartable, but complex cryogenic storage needed.
Hybrid Fuel	Partial	~250-350 s	Moderate	Moderate	SpaceShipTwo, sounding rockets	Safer than liquids, but limited flight heritage.
Cryogenic	Yes	400+ s	Very High	High	Saturn V (S-II), Space Shuttle SSME	Extremely efficient, but requires ultra-cold storage. Used in upper stages.

6. Challenges and Innovations of Cryogenic Rocket Engines:

6.1 Challenges:

One of the most common problems includes: The change of velocity due to burning some amount of fuel for some amount of time, or to determine the acceleration that results from burning fuel.

But from the technical part, it includes a wide range of problems, some of which are:

1. The explosion and fire potential is larger; failure can be catastrophic.
2. The change of velocity due to burning some amount of fuel for some amount of time, or to determine the acceleration that results from burning fuel.
3. If designed for reuse, it requires extensive factory rework and new propellants.
4. Requires cryogenic temperatures (-253°C (LH2) and -183°C (LOX)), thus requiring advanced insulation such as multi-layer insulation (MLI) systems and specially designed cryogenic storage tanks to prevent heat absorption and propellant evaporation.
5. Requires precise flow, which adds to engineering difficulty for development, increasing time, complexity, and risk of failure.
6. Specialized facilities are required for the building/testing/operating of cryogenic engines, etc. Furthermore, the building materials (superalloys, high-performance seals) add to the cost.

6.2 Recent Developments

Reusable Cryogenic Engines: SpaceX's Raptor engine [11] is a long-awaited advancement in cryogenic propulsion that seeks to create reusability. Using liquid methane (CH4) and liquid oxygen (LOX) [4] as propellants improves efficiency and allows for quicker turnaround between launches, similarly reducing costs for those frequently making the trip.

Hydrolox and Methalox Engines: Hydrolox engines [12], composed of liquid hydrogen and liquid oxygen, remain the traditional process for high-efficiency, high-cost projects beyond low Earth atmosphere. However, new methalox engines (methane + oxygen) are becoming more favorable as they are storable, easier to work with, and in-situ resource utilization (ISRU) friendly for future Mars expeditions

Supercooled Propellants: By cooling propellants even further below their boiling points (a technique known as **densification**), modern cryogenic engines achieve greater propellant density and combustion efficiency. This innovation allows rockets to carry more propellant in the same volume, enhancing performance and payload capacity.

7. Future of Propulsion:

The future of rocket propulsion is set to revolutionize space exploration, expanding the reach of human and robotic missions beyond traditional chemical propulsion. Emerging technologies promise higher efficiency, longer travel durations, and the possibility of interstellar journeys.

7.1 Nuclear Thermal Propulsion [13] (NTP)

Nuclear Thermal Propulsion [13] is one of the most promising advancements for deep-space exploration, offering significantly higher efficiency compared to conventional chemical rockets.
How It Works: By two atomic processes, fission and fusion. In fission, heat is created when energetic neutrons bombard and break apart uranium atoms within a nuclear reactor. Hydrogen propellant is then passed through the reactor for propulsion. For fusion, atoms of isotopes of hydrogen (deuterium or tritium) are forced together to become heavier atoms, which release tremendous heat. This process creates hot fusion plasma, which either has propellant directed around or through it, or is directly exhausted out of a nozzle for propulsion.

Advantages:

- Increase in efficiency than the traditional rockets (**specific impulse ~900 seconds**).
- Ideal for long-duration missions, such as sending crewed spacecraft to Mars in a fraction of the time required by conventional propulsion.

Current Development:
NASA and DARPA are collaborating to develop and demonstrate advanced nuclear thermal propulsion technology, to demonstrate a nuclear thermal rocket engine in space as soon as 2027.
NASA's DRACO [14] (Demonstration Rocket for Agile Cislunar Operations) project aims to test nuclear thermal engines for future space missions.

7.2 Antimatter and Fusion Propulsion (Theoretical Concepts)

For interstellar travel, future technologies like antimatter and fusion propulsion are being explored.
Antimatter Propulsion: Uses the annihilation of antimatter and matter to produce immense energy, potentially generating near-light-speed travel.

Fusion Propulsion: Mimics the process powering the Sun, using fusion reactions for propulsion.

7.3 Electric Propulsion

Electric propulsion is already in use today, particularly in satellite and deep-space missions, and is expected to be a core technology for interplanetary travel.
How It Works: Electric propulsion uses ionized gas [15] (such as xenon) accelerated by an electric or magnetic field to produce thrust.

Advantages:

- Extremely high efficiency (specific impulse ~2000-5000 seconds).
- Ideal for deep-space missions where gradual acceleration over long distances is beneficial.

Examples:

NASA’s Dawn spacecraft [16] utilized ion propulsion for efficient asteroid exploration.

Space agencies, including ESA and NASA, continue to develop next-generation ion engines for future missions.

8. Space Exploration:

Exploration and comprehension of the universe are at humanity's fingertips more than ever through propulsion, which makes the speed of such discovery possible. Cryogenic engines pertain to propulsion systems required for cryogenic performance and fuel efficiency for all required activities in space. They have a crucial role in each of the following fields of exploration:

8.1 Artemis for the Future of Lunar Missions

NASA's Artemis program [17] is designed to return astronauts to the Moon and establish a more sustainable presence there. The Artemis program learns from Apollo but is more focused on extended missions and habitation. Cryocooling the Moon for Sustainable Habitation is to sustain a human presence. Artemis's first goal is to use what is on the Moon, like resources, to keep people there. This means a need later in the mission to use cryogenic methods to cool the Moon to stabilize gases so they can be used as hurdle propellant for moving from lunar orbit back to Earth orbit; any extra mission moons will require this type of cooling.

Artemis I: Artemis I was a successful uncrewed mission in 2022, a test flight around the Moon for expected future human landings that returned to Earth. It established the groundwork for what is possible and expected to learn with new technologies and inquiry that could have been organic Moon developments for human advancements. Therefore, one of the main emphases of continued Artemis missions is establishing long-term habitation.
In the footsteps of Apollo, the Artemis program seeks to establish a long-term human presence on the Moon. Cryogenic technologies currently being developed by human efforts give purpose for exploring the Moon and beyond.

Cryogenic Propulsion in Artemis: NASA's most powerful heavy-lift launch vehicle, the Space Launch System (SLS), runs with cryogenically fueled engines such as the RL10, which successfully creates thrust on its upper stage. Such a feat allows accurate positioning of the Orion crewed vehicles and extensive payloads into lunar orbit.

Sustainable Development: The Artemis program intends for a continued presence on the Moon, whether for habitats or fuel depots. Cryogenic technology can manufacture propellant—hydrogen and oxygen—from ice that will be mined at the Moon's south pole, decreasing Earth's resource dependence.

Human Exploration and Science: Artemis is not a reference to a re-exploration of the Moon, but a mission to further exploration. The landing of the first woman and the next man on the Moon ensures that a diverse, equitable future in space exploration exists.

8.2 Mars Missions.

Getting to and living on Mars is the pinnacle of interplanetary travel, and to do so, cryogenic propulsion is required to get there and operate on the surface.

Cryogenic Contributions from NASA: NASA utilizes cryogenic engines to achieve launch and payload insertions, successfully guiding its vehicles, for example, the trajectory of the Perseverance rover [18], relative to where it is supposed to be on Mars. In addition, cryogenic components are expected in the future for in-space propulsion for extended endeavors with cargo and crew vehicles on Mars, too.

SpaceX's Starship: The Starship runs on exceptionally efficient Raptor engines that utilize cryogenic liquid methane (CH_4) and liquid oxygen (LOX) [4], allowing for an incredibly efficient launch and landing process, as well as in-situ resource utilization (ISRU) on Mars where H_2O ice on the surface and CO_2 in the atmosphere facilitates methane production. The ability for SpaceX's rockets to exit the atmosphere of Mars using resources from Mars, as opposed to needing to/from Earth every time it needs fuel/support, makes round-trip efforts practical and necessary for long-term operations and occupations.

8.3 Interstellar Probes

We're nowhere near launching probes interstellar yet, but many of the propulsion systems and cryogenics are a basis for designs.

Breakthrough Starshot [19]: This probe is a mission to test whether light sails created on the ground, lasers could create thrust to push a nanocraft to star systems like Alpha Centauri. While this technology is not necessarily on par with chemical propulsion systems, it does require cryogenic engines to launch and position such probes on the right escape trajectories.
Cryogenic Engines: One of the options for any major interstellar probe launch would be cryogenic engines, which would offer a tremendous specific impulse and efficiency. These would be combined with other developing technologies like nuclear propulsion or ion drives to traverse such vast distances of interstellar space.

9. Conclusion:

Cryogenic rocket engines are therefore modern space exploration and irreplaceable, and best suited for interplanetary missions because of their high efficiency, thrust, and flexibility. This makes them crucial for Mars exploration, lunar colonization, and deep space travel due to their ability to move heavy payloads and perform precise orbital maneuvers.

However, such engines are difficult to store, are expensive, and have fuel boil-off losses. Reusable cryogenic engines, supercooled propellants, and hybrid propulsion systems, which are now under active development, are already solving many of these problems. Future research should be aimed at improving the storage of cryogenic fuel for long-duration missions, reducing thermal losses, and optimizing engine reusability for deep space missions.

Thus, cryogenic propulsion can be acknowledged as a key enabler of sustainable space exploration as developments in this field continue. Advanced cryogenic technology innovations will be essential for next-generation missions that aim to leave our solar system and eventually travel interstellar.

References

[1] NASA Glenn Research Center. (n.d.-a). Rocket thrust equation. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://www.grc.nasa.gov/www/k-12/airplane/rockth.html

[2] NASA Glenn Research Center. (n.d.-b). Specific impulse. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://www.grc.nasa.gov/www/k-12/airplane/specimp.html

[3] Wikipedia contributors. (2024, March 5). Solid rocket motor. In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solid_rocket_motor

[4] Wikipedia contributors. (2024, February 10). Liquid rocket engine. In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Liquid_rocket_engine

[5] NASA History Office. (n.d.). Gunpowder and Rockets in Ancient China. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://history.nasa.gov/rocketry/ancient.html

[6] NASA History Office. (n.d.). Robert Goddard and the First Liquid-Fueled Rocket, 1926. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://history.nasa.gov/goddard.html

[7]Wikipedia contributors. (2024, January 12). V-2 rocket. In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/V-2_rocket

[8] NASA Glenn Research Center. (n.d.-c). Rocket engine basics. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://www.grc.nasa.gov/www/k-12/rocket/rktbasics.html

[9] Halliday, D., Resnick, R., & Walker, J. (2013). Fundamentals of physics (10th ed.). Wiley.

[10] Wikipedia contributors. (2024, April 1). Cryogenic rocket engine. In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cryogenic_rocket_engine

[11] SpaceX. (2023). Raptor engine overview. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://www.spacex.com/vehicles/raptor/

[12] Wikipedia contributors. (2024, March 15). Hydrolox engine. In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrolox

[13] Diehl, J. C. (2022). Nuclear thermal propulsion: The next step for deep-space travel. Journal of Spacecraft Propulsion, 28(4), 45–59.

[14] NASA. (2024). DRACO: Demonstration Rocket for Agile Cislunar Operations. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://www.nasa.gov/draco

[15] NASA Electric Propulsion Laboratory. (2023). Electric propulsion overview. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://www.nasa.gov/electric-propulsion

[16] NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory. (2018). Dawn mission overview. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://www.jpl.nasa.gov/missions/dawn

[17] NASA. (2025). Artemis program. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://www.nasa.gov/artemis

[18] NASA Mars Exploration Program. (2021). Perseverance rover [18] mission. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://mars.nasa.gov/mars2020/

[19] Breakthrough Initiatives. (2025). Breakthrough Starshot [19]. Retrieved May 20, 2025, from https://breakthroughinitiatives.org/initiative/3